

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,998	10/602,998 06/23/2003		Thomas M. Brennan	28690-705.302	1644	
7590 05/03/2006			EXAMINER BABIC, CHRISTOPHER M			
Albert P. Hall Wilson Sonsini						
650 Page Mill I		Cosati	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Palo Alto, CA	94304			1637		
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/602,998	BRENNAN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Christopher M. Babic	1637		

before the filling of all Appear blief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Christopher M. Babic	1637						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ∴ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring the final Office of	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	acauca					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 004)					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2,4-13 and 15.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 14. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
ah M De 4/27/06		KENNETH R. HORLICK PRIMARY EXAMIN	VIL J. PH.D ER					
		5/1/06						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment of Claim 2 which deletes --during or after-- significantly changes the scope of the claim and would require further search and consideration on the part of the Examiner. At present, Claim 2 encompasses immobilized sequences adapted to be released before, during or after amplification. A previous search of the prior art revealed a reference (Monforte et al.) that taught immobilized sequences adapted to be released after amplification. The previously applied reference clearly encompassed the instant alternative claim language. Further examination would be needed to consider prior art teaching immobilized sequences adapted to be released before amplification.